## Consistency with SEPPs

State Environmental Planning	Consistent		N/A	Comment
Policies				
(SEPPs)	YES	NO	-	
SEPP No 1	TES	NO	$\checkmark$	The Drovisions of SEDD 1 do not early to the
Development			•	The Provisions of SEPP 1 do not apply to the site pursuant to Clause 1.9(2) of Appendix 7 of
Standards				the Growth Centres SEPP.
SEPP No 4			✓	
Development			•	SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 applies to the site however is not
Without Consent				relevant to the Planning Proposal.
and				relevant to the Flanning Floposal.
Miscellaneous				
Exempt and				
Complying				
Development				
SEPP No 6			$\checkmark$	The Standard instrument definition for the
Number of			•	number of storeys applies.
Storeys				number of storeys applies.
SEPP No 32	$\checkmark$			The SEPP is not relevant to the Planning
Urban				Proposal as it is a greenfield site.
Consolidation				r roposal as it is a greenheid site.
(Redevelopment				
of Urban Land)				
SEPP No 55			$\checkmark$	Land capability and contamination assessment
Remediation of				during the precinct planning did not identify any
Land				contamination on the subject site.
				Notwithstanding this, contamination will be
				further addressed at the DA stage.
SEPP No 60			$\checkmark$	SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development
Exempt and				Codes) 2008 applies to the site however is not
Complying				relevant to the Planning Proposal.
Development				5
SEPP No 64			$\checkmark$	SEPP 64 is not relevant to the Planning
Advertising and				Proposal. The SEPP may be relevant to future
signage				DAs.
SEPP No 65	✓			Residential flat buildings are permitted in the R3
Design Quality of				zone under the Growth Centres SEPP and the
Residential Flat				Planning Proposal is capable of consistency with
Development				SEPP 65 for future development of the site
SEPP No.70			✓	SEPP 70 is not relevant to proposed
Affordable				amendment.
Housing				
(Revised				
Schemes)				

SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009		<b>~</b>	SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) is not relevant to proposed amendment.
SEPP (BASIX) 2004	~		Detailed compliance with SEPP (BASIX) will be demonstrated in a future development application for the scheme facilitated under this Planning Proposal.
SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008	~		SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) may apply to the future development of the site.
SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007	~		SEPP (infrastructure) may apply to the future development of the site.
SEPP (State and Regional Development) 2011	~		The future development of the site is not likely to be deemed as 'regional development' and Council will likely act as the determining authority.
Sydney Regional Environmental Plan No 18– Public Transport Corridors		V	This SREP does not apply to the Blacktown LGA.
Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005	✓		The proposed development is not located within the foreshores and waterways area boundary. Any potential impacts as a result of development on the site, such as stormwater runoff, will be considered and addressed appropriately at DA stage.